Please use this form to provide comments on the Notice of Proposed Amendments for the MUTCD.

**INSTRUCTIONS:**

1. Add your name or organization name where indicted in the footer of this form.
2. Use Table 1 to provide your original comments.
3. Use Table 2 to indicate your agreement with a comment that another commenter has submitted to the docket.
4. Do not adjust formatting of the rows and columns; text will automatically wrap and expand the row height as you type.
5. To add rows to this form, use the “Insert Rows” function, or hover just outside the left edge of the row below which you would like to add a row and click the encircled “+” that appears.
6. If you choose to provide a letter to accompany this comment form, please **print the document as a PDF**; **please do not scan a hard copy**. This will assist FHWA with cataloging your comments.

**TABLE 1. ORIGINAL COMMENTS ON PROPOSED CHANGES.** Please indicate the applicable proposed Section numbers in the far-left column. In the next three columns, please indicate your agreement, disagreement, or whether the column is applicable to your response by placing a, “YES,” “NO,” or “N/A” in the appropriate column of the row. If you agree with a proposed change, then there is no need to fill out the additional columns beyond the first two. However, it can be helpful to explain why you agree with a proposed change based on your objective experience as a roadway operator and/or empirical data. If you disagree in part or in whole, then please provide additional information that FHWA may find helpful.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Proposed  Section Number(s) | Agree with concept and text as proposed | Agree with concept; suggested rewording of text in Comments | Disagree with concept | Comments  *Please include justification for your position based on objective experience and empirical data. If there is a specific statement with which you take exception, please provide the Page and Line numbers from the mark-up version of the proposed MUTCD text.* |
| All |  |  |  | See other file submitted to docket which shows detailed recommended changes to the draft MUTCD text, figures, and tables. |
| 3E.01 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 3E.01 as presented in the NPA. |
| 3E.02 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 3E.02 as presented in the NPA. |
| Table 3E-1 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Table 3E-1 as presented in the NPA, but recommends an editorial change to the table entry for Contiguous Right-Hand Side and Right-Hand Edge Line by adding “if warranted”. |
| Table 3E-2 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD in general agrees with Table 3E-2, but recommends changing title of the table from “Longitudinal Pavement Markings Used for Preferential Lanes” to “Standard Edge Line and Center Line Markings for Contra-Flow Preferential Lanes on Divided Highways” to be consistent between the NPA text and table documents. |
| Figure 3E-1 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 3E-1 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 3E-2 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 3E-2 as presented in the NPA. |
| Figure 3E-3 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 3E-3, but recommends a minor figure reference correction to the second bullet of the figure. |
| Figure 3E-4 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 3E-4 as presented in the NPA, but recommends revising the title of the table to “Markings for Contra-Flow Preferential Lanes on Divided Highways”, as contra-flow is the more commonly-used term for this condition. |
| 3E.03 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with 3E.03 as presented in the NPA. |
| 3E.04 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with 3E.04 as presented in the NPA, but recommends requiring lane symbol markings after both exit and entry ramps, and replacing references to dashed lines with dotted lines. |
| Figure 3E-5 | NO | YES | N/A | NCUTCD generally agrees with Figure 3E-5 as presented in the NPA, but recommends adding two new examples as examples “A” and “B” with editorial changes to remaining examples for Figure 3E-5 as follows:   * Rename each example from “A” through “C” to “C” through “E” and add “Weave” to revised example “C” and “D” * Provide labels for wide lane lines on all examples * Remove break lines in revised examples “C” and “D” * Revise the dotted lines in revised example “D” * Add callouts for wide lines and remove signs in revised example “E” |
| Figure 3E-6 | YES | N/A | N/A | NCUTCD agrees with Figure 3E-6 as presented in the NPA. |

**TABLE 2. AGREE WITH ANOTHER COMMENTER.** If you agree with another commenter, please indicate the commenter with whom you agree with and note any additional information FHWA may find helpful or any exceptions.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Docket Comment Number and/or Commenter Name | Agree with commenter’s comments as written | Agree with commenter; with exception(s) | Additional information helpful to FHWA, or exceptions to commenter’s comments |
| (**EXAMPLE**)  FHWA-2020-0001-59 | YES | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |